## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Michigan

United States of America	)	
v.	)	
	)	Case No. 23-20476
Robert Steele, Jr.	)	
Defendant	)	
ORDER OF DET	ENTION	N PENDING TRI

Defendant )	
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Part I - Eligibility for Detention	
Upon the	
✓ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or  Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),	
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.	fac
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)	
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other personand the community because the following conditions have been met:	n
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):	
(a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or	•
(b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or	
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export A (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or	ct
(d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraph (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offens described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or	ses
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves: (i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 9 (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and	21)
(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>	ris
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>	
(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.	

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.  OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the
presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.  Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing,
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ■ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ■ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☑ Prior criminal history ☑ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☑ Prior criminal history ☑ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ☑ History of violence or use of weapons
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history ✓ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ✓ History of violence or use of weapons ☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☑ Prior criminal history ☑ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ☑ History of violence or use of weapons
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history ✓ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ✓ History of violence or use of weapons ☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse ☐ Lack of stable employment

Significant family or other ties outside the United States
Lack of legal status in the United States
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
✓ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
Background information unknown or unverified
✓ Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

## OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

The nature and circumstances of the offenses involved firearms. And, if convicted, Steele is subject to a lengthy sentence. The weight of the evidence goes only to the likelihood that the defendant will pose a danger to the community or a risk of flight; it is not a pretrial determination of guilt. United States v. Stone, 608 F.3d 939, 948 (6th Cir. 2010). In weighing the strength of the evidence, the district court may not modify or limit the defendant's presumption of innocence, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(j). That said, Steele has a significant criminal history involving violations of release conditions.

The history and characteristics of a defendant include his "character, physical and mental condition, family lies, employment, financial resources, length of residence in the community, community ties, past conduct, histoly relating to drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history, and record concerning appearance at court proceedings," 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)(3)(A). Many of these factors weigh against Steele's release. He has a significant criminal history that includes felony assault with intent to murder, firearm convictions, controlled substance convictions, and felony homicide murder. He also committed offenses while conditions of release were imposed.

The final factor-the nature and seriousness of the danger to the community posed by the defendant's release-also supports Steele's detention. Given the instant charges, Steele's history of failing to comply with terms of supervision, and criminal history related to allegedly committing violent offenses, no bond conditions exist that would reasonably assure the safety of the community. As recommended by pretrial services, and for the combination of factors checked above as well as those in this narrative, detention pending trial is warranted.

## Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	September 5, 2023	s/Curtis Ivy, Jr.	
		Judge's Signature	
		Curtis Ivy, Jr., U.S. Magistrate Judge	
		Name and Title	